

Getting those healthcare records was just part of an ever-escalating set of behaviors where **Wells Fargo doesn't want to admit that Wells Fargo made a mistake.**

Wells Fargo has likely justified its swiping of my healthcare records by using some garbage excuse like "we felt like we had to find out what is really wrong with him." or "...find out if he is dangerous", or "we deserve to know who is working for us." These excuses and other similar ridiculous excuses are just bullshit Wells Fargo uses to justify serving its own interests.

Wells Fargo had two choices in re this invasion of my privacy- 1) admit that Wells Fargo did something terribly wrong and that Wells Fargo puts pathetically unqualified people in senior management roles; or 2) double down in the same direction and commit further and even more vulgar intrusions. The bank doesn't want to admit that it did something wrong, so this is what they do.

Wells Fargo likely did not describe it to you this way. Wells Fargo didn't say: "we have a tidy set of facts to convey. We violated this man's rights; then we went on a vicious crime spree against him. Now we have broken the law one more time by illegally obtaining his healthcare records." I would doubt very seriously that they had such uncharacteristic and self-defeating candor. No, it most likely went more like this-

"This guy came to work for us and he was a great employee, but we noticed that something was off about him. We started to see signs that he might be dangerous. We needed to find this out for ourselves. We looked into his past, and we were told that he'd been sent to a mental institution because he was a psychopath. He claimed different to his friend Jenny. He told her that he was sent to a hospital because he used LSD and developed a mental illness as a result. We felt like we had an obligation to protect other people, and so we got these records. I want to show you this. Look at what we found. This guy is dangerous."

140. I would come to realize that **Bill is the poster child for the Dunning-Kruger Effect**. The Dunning-Kruger Effect is the name given to a psychological phenomenon in which **people who have abnormally low cognitive abilities are unable to perceive their own incompetence**. They will instead be deluded by a false sense that they are actually very skilled and effective. Bill's lack of cognitive abilities, his lack of skills relevant to our line of work, and his blindness to his own incompetence make it inevitable that he runs the department into the ground. These also make it inevitable that he and I would have conflict.

387. What they are apparently appalled by is the thought that they may face consequences for the crime they are so obviously now involved in. **They seem to have clearly gone into the same pathological self-preservation state that Wells Fargo seems to live in**. Like their friend Wells Fargo, **Atrium's self-preservation instinct is accompanied by uninhibited criminal ambition**.

492. This demon has no decency. It seems to have no conscience. It has no bravery. **It seems to be composed, solely, of a self-preservation instinct** combined with wanton criminal ambition.

446. Atrium, and in particular Kathy, appear to have fallen into **a behavior pattern that is common among people who are facing stiff consequences**. **Facing a loss, they are compounding their problems by engaging in more extreme risk taking**. **Their risk taking is in the form of additional criminal and civilly unlawful behavior**.

449. I have realized, however, that I have nothing to lose in becoming known to the masses. It is closer to reality to say that I have no choice. The stewards of my private information **behave like out of control demons.**

468. The vulnerable populations Atrium provides services for appear to have one very serious vulnerability that isn't mentioned in Atrium's financials; that being that they are prisoners at will of anyone in the extended social networks of the numerous pumped up, **out of control healthcare criminals that work for Atrium Health.**²

What you have to understand is that **children that young are hardwired to see their caregivers as perfect. If the caregiver does something bad to the child, the child is prone to seeing him or herself as the problem. The caregiver is not a good candidate for the problem, as the caregiver is perfect.** So when this horrific, evil thing is done to me, I don't assume that my caregiver is an evil and wicked person; I instead see myself as an evil, bad, flawed person.

The people around me would see these behaviors, and they would perceive me as a disturbed child. I would absorb and internalize these perceptions of others, and this would further reinforce my self-identification as a disturbed or evil person.

Those healthcare records say not one thing bad about me. They say not one thing that would mean that I am a dangerous person. They do not say one thing that is relevant to any person in my personal life or that anyone other than my doctors would need to know.