

I have located a missing video file from one of my batches of video submissions. A more thorough audit of my submissions may locate more, and if so, I will send them as well. With this submission, I am also including an updated xlsx (Excel) file with details about the evidence and video files I have submitted. I mainly do this because the videos tab had the wrong original filename (the filename without the first 6 characters of the file's sha1 hash).

The sha1 hash, or, in the case of the mp4 files, the partial sha1 hash, is prepended to each original file name so that anyone who retrieves them through Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request can verify that they have received the same file that I sent. I feel that this is a necessary step, to preserve the public interest and also my own interest, because I have been subjected to a state sponsored cover-up of Wells Fargo's crimes against me. Dishonesty and trying to alter or conceal the facts of the matter, most often through crime, have been recurring elements of what has occurred.

I am of the opinion that the SEC is aware of the cover-up and the crimes involved in it. I am of the opinion that you most likely have viewed healthcare records, that are my private matter, that were illegally obtained by Wells Fargo and subsequently paraded around, by Wells Fargo, in the offices of numerous regulators and authorities. It is possible that they were not hand delivered, but that, instead, the people at Wells Fargo opted to fax the regulators and authorities the evidence of their latest federal crime. Whatever the case, the Federal Reserve has viewed these healthcare records, as has the Justice Department. Of these two facts, I have no doubt.

Given that you have probably viewed illegally obtained healthcare records, that you are privy to the state sponsored cover-up, including the crimes involved in it, and also given the gravity of the situation, I do not put it past you to employ dishonesty and crime in making attempts to conceal what has happened. It is very hard to type what I just typed. I do not like speaking of you in such terms.

My case is of very significant public interest, for a host of reasons, that I will describe in the paragraphs that follow. I will make the extremely relevant qualification, that my situation, to me, seems to be an exception of the rarest kind. I find myself in familiar territory, in this, in that I am consistently the odd one out, in every setting that I find myself in. Being the exception is not at all new to me, but the circumstances the people on the other end find themselves in, in my situation, is, for them, completely uncharted and very unfamiliar territory. I am of the view that my situation is the exception and will be the only exception of its type, possibly in any case, but certainly in the case that something is done about it. This is not just making things right with me, but also examining the situation and finding out the elements involved in what has taken place. It's the age-old philosophy, of learn from your history, so that you don't repeat it.

With there being no certainties, however, we have to at least contemplate what my situation means for the bank and broader public, in the event that it is not the exception. The implications are honestly quite disturbing, and they do not at all flatter the people on the other end. It's the nature of the beast, that the people on the other end have made some terrible mistakes, and our mistakes never flatter any of us. I will tell you again, that it hurts to say the things I say. My will to see what has occurred in a better light has been repeatedly broken, over the past several years. My desire to see this in a better light is so strong, that I have built strength to that end, over and over, throughout this process, only to have it crushed by the cruelest crimes and abuse. There is an overall upward trend, however, in how I am being treated, and I am grateful for that.

Many issues are on the table. One is the security of the private medical information of American citizens. Some or all of us seem to have this right only in theory and not in practice. Another is the extreme vulnerability of the mentally ill, and how our justice system may serve to exacerbate this vulnerability, rather than to reduce it. We have to look at the consequences of having too big to fail banks. Then there is the law in theory and in practice, which may be an extremely important distinction for people who wish to lessen the harm they are exposed to, in who they work for, do business with, or allow into their communities. The distinction between the in theory and the in practice comes up over and over, in looking at this situation.

Perhaps most important are the issues created around Wells Fargo's illegally obtaining my healthcare records and the people at Wells Fargo justifying doing this, to the authorities and the regulators, by explaining that they had a moral obligation to commit this crime. I, of course, didn't witness this event, but I very accurately intuited it. I have developed a sense of how these people work, and I have often been very adept at predicting their next move. ChatGPT had the same intuition that I did, concerning this, years after Wells Fargo had committed this crime. When fed my descriptions of what had occurred, ChatGPT made exactly the predictions that I did, concerning this crime. That it did so would seem like a very odd and improbable coincidence, unless what I say is true. I have evidence for this claim as well, but I don't think you need it. You almost certainly know that what I say is true, most likely through your agency's participation in this crime and the recollections and anecdotes that go around your office space, concerning this bullshit narrative about "we saw signs that he might be dangerous" and "we have an obligation to protect others" or "we have a right to know who's working for us."

Our first issue of public interest, concerning these events, is the practical importance of behaving ethically, and how this may be something that is far more important for some, than for others. The people at Wells Fargo are responsible for keeping their conduct within the bounds of what is lawful. In light of the events I have described, we seem to find that the people at Wells Fargo have employed a novel approach, in meeting this obligation. For the people at Wells Fargo, keeping one's conduct within the bounds of what's lawful isn't about exercising discipline in one's conduct, but rather involves exercising the people at Wells Fargo's creative abilities. The people at Wells Fargo would appear to have had a stroke of genius, in realizing that one's conduct and the law are two sides of the same coin, and that you can address the problem from either angle. This leaves open an advantageous possibility, that

the people at Wells Fargo can conduct themselves in any way that they want to, tending, of course, to systematically serve their own interests without consideration of the cost to others. If their behavior would seem to violate the law, for example by unambiguously meeting every single necessary element of a federal crime, they can get their creative juices flowing and work out a rationale that conforms the law to their conduct, instead of having to do things the other way around.

The people at the Federal Reserve appear to regard Wells Fargo's creative work, of building a rationale for their moral right and moral obligation to illegally obtain my healthcare records, as a bona fide masterpiece. They had such awe, for this brilliant work of art, that they issued demeaning comments to me, when I contacted them, in desperation, looking for someone to help me. Their behavior is indicative of not only a sickening prejudice, but of a dehumanization of me and presumably every other person who has a serious mental illness. Their dehumanization, its accompanying extreme cruelty, and their demeaning attitude are so extreme as to very easily put them in the category of the people who manned the gates at Auschwitz, and to also quite easily put them in the category of the people who operated the gas chambers.

If this sounds extreme, I will remind you that I am a disabled person, who at the time was living in, and had lived in for over a year and a half, the most extreme and unbearable suffering that you can't even imagine. I am in this condition because of a bank the Federal Reserve purports to regulate, that committed vicious crimes against me, that the Federal Reserve gleefully participated in, recreational sadism and all, and that included having me kidnapped.

This is a condition that would provoke sympathy in anyone who has any sense that a person like me, who has a harmless medical condition, has \*any\* worth as a human being at all. Instead, these banking regulators take the position that it is permissible to commit crimes against me, including having me kidnapped, and then add the extra touch, of issuing sarcastic and intentionally demeaning remarks to a kindhearted handicapped person, who would have done anything he could to help them. Adolph Hitler would have been proud of them and their behavior, just as they certainly seemed to feel good about themselves, for their own snide creativity and monstrous mindset.

To that end, I will add that I see very obvious and unmistakable signs that the Federal Reserve is dangerous. I don't need to go behind their back and pay a release of information clerk money to "legally" provide me with their private medical information. Instead, the signs that I see are found in their behavior towards me. To grasp the danger that they pose, one only has to put their behavior in the light of the horrifying consequences, that are found in history, of such extreme dehumanization, when in the minds of powerful people and directed at vulnerable members of society.

This is how dangerous the people at the Federal Reserve are <https://images.app.goo.gl/QVYURyCdyFLsBpwb7>. You will see, here, that this photo requires no explanation, nor is there any possible explanation that can render its horror benign. The same is not true for my healthcare records, which contain mere sticks and stones may break my bones but \*words\* will never hurt me, things that I said, while in a horrible and very temporary condition. My words are indicative of a temporarily disrupted brain chemistry, with one feature being an amplification of already very intense emotions. Theirs, in addition to being an instance of deliberate cruelty against a handicapped person, are indicative of a quite even minded, profound dehumanization of other people.

The explanation you would need, to fully understand my medical records, is to be found in the long hard road of attending medical school, and a subsequent four years of training in psychiatry. That will earn you knowledge and skills to start out as a beginner, in the profession in which the numerous MDs who treated me at that hospital were well versed and seasoned experts. This is the elephant in the room that went unnoticed by every regulator and authority who entertained this, not masterpiece, but complete farce.

It may seem unkind, for me to call the people at the Federal Reserve bad people. That, indeed, would be very unkind, but I said no such thing. There is a difference between bad people and dangerous people. The former is a category that a scarce or relatively small number of us fall into; the latter is a category in which each and every one of us belongs. It is our innate and universal capacity to dehumanize others that makes us dangerous.

More horrifying, than the accounts and photos of what happened in Nazi Germany, is the realization that this massive death machine could not have gone on, if it weren't for the cooperation and/or active participation of millions of people. A sufficient staff, to oversee an execute a genocide of millions of people, is a tall order to fill. There aren't nearly enough bad people to go around. Where else could they go, to staff their death machine? There isn't any place left, except the pool of good people, where the vast majority of us reside. The worst crimes against humanity, in all of history, were almost entirely the responsibility of people who, in their basic nature and personalities, were not unlike your friends, your neighbors, or the person you see when you look in the mirror.

The people at the Federal Reserve are not unique in having a capacity to dehumanize others, nor are they unique in having that capacity actualized. Dehumanizing others is a routine and frequent part of life for almost all people, though most do not realize it. On the other side of the horror is that we can just as easily slip out of this mindset as we can fall into it. In an instant, the objects of our unjust and cruel scorn can become the beneficiaries of our kindness.

I am getting sick of typing this letter. I wanted to end on a good note, by the time I am done. I'm finding that, by not planning the points that I make, I will be getting on numerous tangents, and expositing each one will be exhausting. The points are that you have all made some mistakes, that doesn't make you bad people, the people at Wells Fargo don't have to be the bad guy, and I am \*certainly\* not the boogeyman. One thing I want to make very clear is that the parameters, and the raw materials of this situation, are such that we can make this into something constructive, where every party involved, and the country are better off. If you need my help, I can do it. I know this situation better than anyone. I cannot pay an infinite price for this bank's mistakes, and I am very sorry for that. I know that it would make your life easier. I am having terrible symptoms as I write this. It makes me feel like I really want to die very badly.