

## **4/25/2019 and 5/1/2019 Appointment**

### **What I Do:**

On the **4/25/2019** appointment and possibly on the **5/1/2019** appointment, I tell Kathy how there was no legitimate reason for Jenny to file this petition. I tell her how we have been arguing about this for a long time. I admit to having made a heated statement.

On the **4/25/2019** appointment or the **5/1/2019** appointment, I told Kathy about the officer not having the petition in his possession when he came to my apartment and took me into custody. Before the appointment, I also deauthorized Jenny's number and my mother's number.

On the same, I told Kathy about the girl at the inpatient unit refusing to delete or deauthorize my mother's number and Jenny's number.

On the same (I am almost certain this one was on the **5/1/2019** appointment), I asked her if the petition had just the date or the date and the time for when it was signed. I was referring to when it was signed by the magistrate. On the same, I told Kathy about Jenny telling me that the magistrate's office was across the street from the inpatient unit.

On the **5/1/2019** appointment, I bring in a data disc with evidence for the privacy violation. I show Kathy the text message from evidence id #7, where Tunny texts Jenny to see if I am texting Jenny. I tell her how Jenny claims that this text message is the entirety of her communications with Tunny since December 2017, and how Jenny claims that the text is not truncated.

### **Kathy's Response:**

In re the petition not being in the officer's possession, Kathy seems to think this is a trivial issue. In re the girl at the inpatient unit not taking off the phone number, Kathy asks me if I remember her name. In re the data disc, Kathy says that she can't look at the data disc, due to Atrium's rules. In re the text message, Kathy acknowledges that it doesn't make sense. Kathy tells me that I am ruminating. She says that Jenny is never going to tell me the truth, and that I need to make a decision of whether I want to be Jenny's friend or not.

### **My Medical Records:**

The details about the officer not having the petition are absent. There is no mention of the girl refusing to delete my mother's number and Jenny's number. There is no mention of the text message between Jenny and Tunny. The nurse has that I deauthorized Jenny's number and my mother's number with her on **5/1/2019**. This may or may not be accurate. I would have deauthorized these numbers on the first opportunity. If the nurse read to me that these numbers were on my account on **4/25/2019**, I would have deauthorized them then. That was when I recalled deauthorizing them. For sure, by **5/1/2019** I deauthorized them.

### **Key Takeaways From the 4/25/2019 and 5/1/2019 Appointments**

- I deauthorized both Jenny's number and my mother's number, in these appointments
- I told Kathy about the social worker refusing to delete the numbers when I requested
- I almost certainly told the same to the nurse
- I tell Kathy about the petition not being in the officer's possession
- I show Kathy the suspect text between Jenny and Tunny. Kathy agrees that it is odd.

## **Cumulative Takeaways as of the 4/25/2019 and 5/1/2019 Appointments**

- In **2018** (roughly **January** through **May**), I had to adjust my medication. My Zyprexa (Olanzapine) had been causing me increasingly severe cognitive impairment. I had described it as “dementia like symptoms”
- I called Atrium on **4/16/2019** and **4/17/2019**, to tell them that Jenny was up to no good. I tell her how Jenny is running scams and may try to get my medical records.
- On **4/21/2019**, I am brought to Atrium’s inpatient unit, over a civil commitment petition that was filed by Jenny. The petition has the time taken into custody and the time delivered to the examination unit entered as the exact same times (11:43 pm). It would seem likely that as of **5/1/2019**, Kathy and (possibly) others at Atrium know this. Morci may have seen it before she examined me in the early morning hours of **4/22/2019**. Since I have told Kathy about the suspect details in these appointments, it would seem reasonable that Kathy would have looked it up.
- On **4/23/2019**, in an examination process that Kathy was a part of, multiple professionals at Atrium come to the conclusion that there is absolutely nothing wrong with me. On that day, they schedule me to be discharged on **4/24/2019**. I am told, before leaving that “it’s a good thing that you’ve been here, because you’ve been examined and we found nothing.”
- During my inpatient stay, I ask for Jenny’s number and my mother’s number to be deleted. This is after I consent to a request which I perceive to be to talk to my mother and Jenny one single time. I am refused this request twice, by the same social worker.
- I have told Kathy, on the **4/25/2019** and **5/1/2019** appointments, the suspect nature of what had occurred. I told her about the officer not having the petition in his possession. I told her about the girl refusing to delete the numbers.
- I showed Kathy one piece of evidence for Jenny’s privacy violation (the text), and Kathy agreed that it was suspect. Here I have provided at least one piece of evidence that substantiates my claims.
- None of the suspect details make it to my medical notes for **4/25/2019** or **5/1/2019**. My medical notes are already beginning to appear to show a pattern where suspect or incriminating details related to Atrium are not listed.