



Leonard Clinton Williams III [REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Dec 25th, 2022, 1:52 AM

Re: Audios for 12/24/2022 Part 02

To: "Division, Criminal (CRM)" <Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov>, civil.feedback@usdoj.gov

I am extremely honest. People do need to listen to me. Here is a video I made 4 months ago.

<https://youtu.be/s8a6tzkwyfl>

At this point I have called the following:

1. In inducing atrium health (Shirley) into crime to get my records, Wells Fargo was after the records from the hospital I was at when I was 18.
2. Wells Fargo does this as part of an exercise of reality denial, where Wells Fargo denies that Wells Fargo made a mistake in looking into my past and instead commits more intrusions into my life.
3. Wells Fargo would be making attempts to get my healthcare records from the hospital that I was at when I was 18.
4. Upon getting my records from the hospital that I was at when I was 18, Wells Fargo can use the disturbing sounding (and to someone with the proper expertise, completely harmless) things that I said to construct a narrative about- "we started to see signs that he might be dangerous."
5. I state that this claim is an absurd exercise of reality denying and a total load of shit; I state that I am not a dangerous person, and that the claim that I am is ridiculous.

Let's go over these one a a time:

Item #1- the justice department knows this one for a fact, one way or another, did Wells Fargo aim to get my records from the hospital that I was at when I was 18? Was this the goal of inducing Shirley at atrium into crime? Wells Fargo has confided the answer to this question to you.

I suspect that the answer is yes. You know that my suspicion is correct, don't you? I'll mark this one as a yes. I'm right for this one.

Item #2- Does Wells Fargo deny that Wells Fargo made a mistake in looking into my past? Or did Wells Fargo tell the justice department "we made a big mistake and looked into his past. We had no legal right to do this or justification for doing this"? The answer here is that they denied that they made a mistake. We have another yes, don't we?

For the second part, did Wells Fargo make further intrusions into my life? This is another yes. They got my healthcare records from when I was 18. Previous to this they wanted the Mobile Crisis counselors to procure my diagnosis. So far I haven't missed a yes.

Item #3- this is an easy answer. Another yes. They were after those records. This is evidenced by the fact that they (most likely) gave the justice department a copy of them, or at the very least showed them to the justice department.

Item #4- tell me, US DOJ, did Wells Fargo indeed give you a narrative that sounds a little like, or maybe a lot like, what I have told you about so many times, and that I reference in this item? I'll answer this one for you, and you tell me if I'm right. The answer is yes, and I'm right.

Were the things that I said completely harmless? According to a slew of many, many doctors who saw those records over a period of nearly 11 years, yes they were. The doctors and Broughton sent me home and not one medical professional who saw those records ever recommended that I be sent back to broughton or any hospital over those records. In fact, with one exception, not one medical professional to see those records ever brought up the things on those records as much as one single time.

The total number of medical professionals to legally see those records is easily over a dozen. We have another yes. It's all yeses across the board thus far.

Item #5- what do you think the answer for this one is? What else would it be? Of course this is all bullshit, just like I say that it is. Wells Fargo invades my privacy when I'm 37 years old. I had been out of the hospital for over 18 years. What did I ever do that would justify calling me dangerous, in those 18 years? Further, what the hell makes Wells Fargo think that the people at Wells Fargo are qualified to and have the appropriate expertise to determine if someone is dangerous? What the hell gives Wells Fargo the idea that people with a history of treatment for mental health are dangerous people? This is bigotry.

There is nothing dangerous about me, dead zero, full stop. End of story. Wells Fargo didn't see any signs that I might be dangerous because there are no signs that I'm dangerous, because I'm not a dangerous person. This reverse engineered bullshit narrative is a half-assed excuse that Wells Fargo comes up with to deny reality and to weasel out of and justify a crime spree, so that Wells Fargo do as it pleases without real consequences, as Wells Fargo is accustomed to doing.

Will you concede that we have more yeses for this item? I called every last bit of this, blindfolded and often in advance, with nothing to go by except a fishy phone call and a suspect meeting with some counselors. If I don't see what has happened very clearly, and if I'm not right, how did I so accurately predict every last bit of this?

It's all a load of crap. Getting those healthcare records didn't score a single point for Wells Fargo. It just adds one more crime (or possibly multiple crimes) to the list of crimes that this bank has committed against me.

Warmest Regards,

Clint Williams

(980)-[REDACTED]