



Leonard Clinton Williams III [REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Mar 8th, 2023, 2:53 PM

Re: Who do you think you are fighting

To: "Division, Criminal (CRM)" <Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov>, civil.feedback@usdoj.gov

You thugs are law enforcement. Leave concerns about my mental health to the doctors that handle my healthcare. I don't care about your concerns about my mental health. You should also not care about your concerns about my mental health. This is because your concerns about my mental health are a waste of time, which is in turn because your concerns about my mental health are not real concerns, because you have no clue what you're talking about and are not qualified to understand the subject matter.

If you had met me in real life, before looking at my illegally obtained healthcare records, you would have been competent to judge me. In that context, you're as good as anyone else. I would seem to you like a very kindhearted man who likes to help people, and is also odd in many ways. I would seem this way to you because that's exactly how I am.

Judging me at a time when I am having very severe symptoms is a different story. Judging or assessing me based on my healthcare records is also a different story.

I have no idea what's going on at the Justice Department, or it is more accurate to say that I have a handful of competing ideas that I go back and forth from, and the truth likely matches one of these ideas very closely, or maybe is made up of elements of more than one of them. One thing I think is possible is that you think that this situation we are in is highly complex and confusing. You may have a position of you don't know what to think, and that this whole thing is full of mysteries, some of which may never be solved.

If you think this, it is because you've been distracted by all of this psychiatry stuff. That will confuse the hell out of you. It will confuse the hell out of anyone who hasn't been through the grinder of putting in long hours of study over a period of years, then having a residency that lasted a number of years, etc. Hai Chung at Broughton had been to school for at least 12 years, probably more, and he probably had 20 or 30 years of experience. And yet, even he got it wrong. He gave me an incorrect diagnosis.

I could confuse you in any number of ways. For example, I could bring you a set of chemistry books, and ask you to make sense of them. I could bring in a diagram of some molecule and ask you how to make, if it's volatile in some way, etc. You would be confused in exactly the same way, assuming you haven't been to school for chemistry. It is complex subject matter and it taxes and fries your brain to try to get a grasp on it, in a few minutes, a few hours, a few days, or even a few months or years, if you're trying to understand it at the level of someone with the education, training, and experience to be a professional chemist.

Go to a book store or a university library, and you will find a huge collection of texts on a wide variety of subject matters that have absolutely nothing to do with the crimes that have been perpetrated against me by Wells Fargo and the others. You could find enough supply to be confused for the rest of your life.

And being confused for the rest of your life might sound good to you, when the alternative is to address this situation that is the end of the world. Any and every distraction from this situation is extremely tempting. Being confused is very convenient.

My point is that being confused by some random complex subject matter taught at a university and being confused by my healthcare records and psychiatry are one and the same here. No difference at all. There is no point in either and neither topic is relevant at all to this situation with me.

The situation can be said to be hard, due to the gravity of the consequences, but it is not complicated at all. No complexity to be found anywhere. If you wanted to have me examined, in depth, by some psychologist who is paid to be objective, I would have agreed to that. The subject matter of that examination would be complex, but that complexity would be outsourced to an appropriate expert. It wouldn't feel all that complex to the expert, and he or she could deliver you an appropriately simplified and tidy answer that you could understand.

This would be a ridiculous request for a criminal law enforcement authority to direct at a victim of a hundred felonies, but I would have happy done it. I gave you my psychologist John's contact info and gave him a full ROI. I did this very early. I talked to him for 1 hour every single month for 8 years. This is compared to 10 to 25 minutes with Kathy every 3 months, for 7.5 years. Do the math and you'll see that he knows me much better than her. He was also more than qualified, with his lengthy education, his talent, and his extensive experience at high level positions.

I can imagine one reply to this being this utter bullshit that Wells Fargo has concocted about "seeing signs that I am dangerous." There, you may reason, it is relevant. We might need to an expert to evaluate if there were in fact signs that I am dangerous for Wells Fargo to see, or if Wells Fargo is just making this up, as an excuse to get away with felonies numbered 6,009,019 through 6,009,121.

I've got a handful of things to say about that. The first is that we haven't done that. We haven't brought in a third party, independent expert to evaluate me. What we would need there is the "signs" that Wells Fargo claims to have seen, along with any corroborating evidence, a professional, and me. I could offer my input on what Wells Fargo says, we could have a dialogue, and the professional could opine. Have we done this ? If this is a real concern, why haven't I been invited to this exercise? Whatever bullshit they say about me or that you say about me, you just claim to be true, and conveniently never let me address it or provide my input. Your perpetual state of justifying what you have done can never be broken as long as you keep the putty in your ears and never hear what I have to say, and you know it.

The second thing is why are we asking this question? How many doctors do we think have screwed up so bad? What kind of stupid idea is this? I referenced 15 or 20 or more medical professionals in my letter to the OIG. This included a bunch of phd psychologists and M.D. psychiatrists, and it didn't even include the people at atrium? Why do we need a second opinion when we're already on the 17th opinion? How many opinions do these fruitcake dumb asses need to be assured that I'm not "dangerous." Why do we care that they want an opinion? Why go through this trouble and this expense for a moron named Bill Vanhoy who is too stupid to realize that he has no clue about how to be a doctor? Why do we even believe this obviously make things up as you go style, ridiculous, bullshit explanation?

I'm getting tired again. To be continued.

Warmest Regards,

Clint Williams

(980)-[REDACTED]