



**Leonard Clinton Williams III [REDACTED]@gmail.com>**

Nov 23rd, 2023, 9:18 PM

**Re: We need to solve this - audio**

**To:** "Division, Criminal (CRM)" <Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov>, civil.feedback@usdoj.gov

We need to be creative. At this point, the bank would probably gladly get rid of this liability if they could do so without making a scene about it. I've got some ideas.

\$30 million + \$10 million a year is hard to write off. They could do it. If it was required to cover their asses and cover up their crimes, they'd find a way.

But lesser amounts we can justify, without incurring an existential threat that has to be documented.

It's their fault for doing this. Are we even there yet? It's been over 3 years. Has the Justice Department and Wells Fargo conceded the philosophical and scientific reality that the conduct of the people at Wells Fargo is the responsibility of the people at Wells Fargo? Have you made it to the related reality that Wells Fargo is morally responsible for the consequences of its conduct, when those consequences can be reasonably predicted or when Wells Fargo's conduct is negligent or reckless?

We have what is true and we have what would be convenient if it were true. This is a major distinction that some inhibition or bias seems to prevent you from making.

---

Warmest Regards,

Clint Williams

(980)-[REDACTED]